Checklist for Assessment of Pumping Stations to Use as Decanting Facilities

Assessment objective: This assessment aims to assess the feasibility of converting existing sewage pumping stations into decanting stations to allow FS addition into the sewer network. A separate assessment of STP capacity and performance is also being undertaken to understand the feasibility of co-treatment at each STP.

Assessment Target: Pumping Stations of Sewer Network in Cities/Towns. One questionnaire should be used for each sewage pumping station in the city/town. If there is more than one pumping station per town, please use separate checklist for each of the pumping station.

Assessment Information: The assessment will be carried out by the ULB officials, and findings from the same will be shared with ULB.

I. C :	ITY DETAILS			
1.	Name of Corporation/Municipality			
2.	District Name			
3.	Name of Assessor			
4.	Designation of Assessor			
5.	Name of Authorizing Officer			
6.	Designation and Contact information of Authorizing Officer			
7•	Mobile No.			
8.	Email id			
9.	Office address			
10.	Date of Assessment	D		YY
II. I	LOCATION AND ACCESS DETAIL	LS		
1.	Name of the pumping station			
2.	Type of pumping station		a) Main pumping station	
	(TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)		b) Sub-pumping station	
			c) Lift Station	
			d) Others (Specify)	
3.	Pumping station capacity			
4.	Geo-coordinates of the SPS		a) Lat :	
			b) Long:	

II. I	II. LOCATION AND ACCESS DETAILS				
5.	What are different types of influent mains/	sewage lines discharging into the SPS?			
6.	Distance of the SPS from the STP? (in km)				
7.	Length of the sewer mains from the SPS to the STP? (in km)				
8.	What areas within the city are served by the SPS?				
located	What type of neighbourhood is the SPS located in? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	a) Largely residential			
		b) Densely populated			
		c) Near market area			
		d) Outskirt/periphery areas			
		e) Others (Specify)			
10.	What is the distance to the nearest	a) < 100 m			
	residence from the SPS? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	b) 100 – 300 m			
		c) 300 – 500 m			
		d) >500 m			
11.	Does the access road pass through areas of habitation? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	a) Yes (Continue)			
		b) No			
12.	Will there be challenges in passage of	a) Yes			
	vehicle through residential areas / markets etc? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	b) No			

II. I	II. LOCATION AND ACCESS DETAILS						
13.	Type of external access- roads to the pumping station (TICK IN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)					GIVEN	
	a) Type	b) Width c) Condition			L		
	i. Single lane	i. <3 m		i. Paved and in	good condition		
	ii. Two lane- undivided	ii. 3-4.5m		ii. Paved but requires (eroded / po			
	iii. Two lane- divided	iii. 4-7m		iii. Unpaved ro	ad		
	iv. Multi-lane	iv. >7m		iv. Others (Spe	ecify)		
	v. Others (Specify)						
III.	III. AVAILABILITY OF SPACE AND EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE						
1.	Does the SPS currently r	eceive fecal sl	udge?		a) Yes		
	b) No						
2.	If <u>YES</u> , since when (Year) has the SPS been receiving fecal sludge?						
3.	On an average, how many trucks empty fecal sludge in a day at the SPS?						
4.	What is the average capacity of the trucks that empty fecal sludge at the SPS? (in litres)						

III.	III. AVAILABILITY OF SPACE AND EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE					
5.	Average volume of fecal sludge received in a weel					
6.	What are challenges faced by the SPS in receiving fecal sludge? For example, poor external and internal access, odour, lack of human resource, etc					
7.	Is the internal access road to pumping station with the septage truck (3.5 m width, 9 m length, dim	ensions to be	a) Yes			
	confirmed) movement? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVE THE OPTIONS)	b) No				
8.	Is there enough space within the pumping station a septage truck (3.5 m width, 9 m length, dimeconfirmed) to enter, turn around and exit? (TICK	a) Yes				
	GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)					
9.	Is there a point such as collection well etc. in which the septage trucks can empty septage/fecal sludge/ sewage from ground level (TICK	a) Yes		60 to Q.11)		
	IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS) - Refer to photo provided in Annexure 1	b) No		continue)		
10.	If the response 'NO' to above question, can a simple ramp be constructed for the trucks to	a) Yes		o to Q.12)		
	empty? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	b) No		o to Q.12)		
11.	(OPTIONAL) If <u>YES</u> , approximate cost of the ramp in Rs. Lakhs:					

III. AVAILABILITY OF SPACE AND EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE						
12.	Existing Infrastructure for Pre-treatment					
	A. Type	B. Availa	ability	C. If Yes in 'B', current working condition		
	i. Coarse screen	Yes		Working		
		No 🗆		Needs major refurbishment		
	ii. Fine screen	Yes		Working		
		No 🗆		Needs major refurbishment		
	iii. Grit removal	Yes		Working		
		No 🗆		Needs major refurbishment		
	iv. Screening disposal	Yes		Working		
	arrangements	No L		Needs major refurbishment		
	v. Others (Specify)	Yes		Working		
		No 🗆		Needs major refurbishment		
13.	What is the total area of the m²)	SPS? (in				
14.	What is the total built area avenue the SPS? (in m²)	vailable at				
15.	5. What is the total unbuilt area available at the SPS? (in m²) i. Area covered by trees			covered by trees		
	j			ii. Area covered by shrubs, grass		
			iii. Parking space			
			iv. Oth	ers (Specify)		
16.	Attach plan of the pumping station. If plan is not available, hand sketch the layout approximately to scale (Layout of the site should include: Boundary, dimension of existing structure, open space, width of entry/exit points, roads, operator room) Refer plan provided in Annexure 2					

III.	III. AVAILABILITY OF SPACE AND EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE				
17.	What is the size of the discharge mains from the SPS?				
18.	Number of pumps at the SPS?				
19.	Is there existing room/space for opera (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST OPTIONS)		a) Yes b) No		
20.	Is there access to water supply at the punstation? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAITHE OPTIONS)		a) Yes b) No		
21.	Is there access to toilet and washroom facilities at the pumping station? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)		a) Yes b) No		
22.	Feasibility for construction of additional inf	rastruo	cture for pu	mping station	n
	i. Is there space to construct an undergrastorage tank to receive fecal sludge? (TIC THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTION	CK IN	a) Yes b) No		
	ii. If an operator room does not exist, Is there space to construct one? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)				
IV.	IV. PUMPS				
1.	What type of pumps and pumping configuration are used at the pumping station?				
	A. Type (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN A	GAIN	IST THE O	PTIONS)	B. No.
	i. Horizontal Pumps in dry pit				
	ii. Vertical pumps in dry pit				
	iii. Vertical pumps in wet pit				
	iv. Submersible sewage pumps in wet pit		<u> </u>		
2.	Flow meter present (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	a) Yesb) No		(GO TO SE	CTION VI)
3.	If yes, Flow Meter working (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)	a) Yes			
		2) 110			

V. STAFF					
1.	Staff working at the pumping station				
	Designation	Role			
a.					
b.					
c.					
d.					
2.	Will there be concern of complaints from neighbourhood because of odour, movement of septage trucks etc. if the pumping station is converted to decanting station? (TICK IN THE BOX GIVEN AGAINST THE OPTIONS)				
2a.	2a. If YES , give details				
Signature of the Assessor:					